1 Raffi Leon George (Estate) Case No. 04CEPR01552

Attorney Simonian, Jeffrey D (for Leon Y. George and Armen L. George – Administrators)
Order to Show Cause

	LEON Y. GEORGE (Deceased), father,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	and ARMEN L. GEORGE , brother, were	
	appointed as Co-Administrators with Full IAEA without bond and Letters issued on	Need First and/or Final Account.
	1-25-05.	
Cont. from	1	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Inventory and Appraisal Partial No. 1	
Verified	was filed on 01/09/2015 indicating real property valued at \$160,000.00 and	
Inventory	personal property valued at \$1,500.00.	
PTC	=	
Not.Cred.	Final Inventory and Appraisal Partial No.	
Notice of	2 was filed 04/20/2015 indicating	
Hrg	personal property valued at \$139,728.83	
Aff.Mail	Minute Order of 10/29/2015 set this	
Aff.Pub.	Order to Show Cause.	
Sp.Ntc.	Minute Order states: Mr. Simonian	
Pers.Serv.	represents that he has been unable to	
Conf.	contact Armen George. The Court vacates its previously stayed sanctions	
Screen	with the reservation of reinstating them.	
Letters	The Court issues an Order to Show	
Duties/Supp	Cause as to Armen L. George for failure	
Objections	to file a first or final account. Mr.	
Video	George is ordered to be personally	
Receipt	present in court or via Courtcall on 11/16/2015 if the petition is not filed by	
CI Report	11/12/2015. Should the petition be filed	
9202	by 11/12/2015, then no appearances	
Order	are necessary on 11/16/2015.	
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LV
Status Rpt	Clerk's Certificate of Mailing was mailed	Reviewed on: 11/12/2015
UCCJEA Citation	to Armen L. George on 10/30/2015.	Updates: Recommendation:
FTB Notice	-	
FID NOTICE		File 1 – George

1

Attorney

2

Heather H. Kruthers (for Public Guardian, Conservator)

Fourth Account Current and Report of Conservator and Petition for Allowance of Compensation to Conservator and Attorney

			PUBLIC GUARDIAN, Conservator of the	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Person and Estate, is Petitioner.	
			Account period: 8/1/2013 - 7/31/2015	
Co	nt. from		Accounting - \$ 92,161.52 Beginning POH - \$ 12,462.19 Ending POH - \$23,807.39	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Finding POH - \$12,462.17	
1	Verified		(\$22,457.39 is cash)	
	Inventory			
	PTC		Conservator - \$ 512.08	
	Not.Cred.		(5.50 Deputy hours @ \$96/hr and .98 Staff hours @ \$76/hr)	
✓	Notice of Hrg		. ,	
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	Attorney - \$1,250.00 (less than \$2,500.00 allowed per Local Rule)	
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.		Bond fee - \$50.00 (o.k.)	
	Pers.Serv.		400.00 (0.00)	
	Conf. Screen		Petitioner prays for an Order:	
	Letters		1. Approving, allowing and settling the	
	Duties/Supp		Fourth Account;	
	Objections		2. Authorizing the conservator and	
	Video Receipt		attorney fees and commissions; and 3. Authorizing payment of the bond fee.	
	CI Report			
✓	2620			
✓	Order		Court Investigator's Report was filed on 8/3/2015.	
	Aff. Posting		-, -,	Reviewed by: LEG
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 11/10/15
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 2 - Moody

Atty Kruthers, Heather (for Public Administrator)

Atty Rackley, Elaine (Pro Per Administrator with Will Annexed)

Probate Status Hearing for Failure to File a First Account or Petition for Final Distribution

DOD: 9-6-05	DORIS ELAINE RACKLEY, Daughter, was	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	appointed as Administrator with Will Annexed	1 No od o oktor to oto ol
	with Limited IAEA without bond and Letters issued on 8-28-07.	Need petition for final distribution.
	= 133000 0110 20 07.	disinisonon.
Cont. from	On 11-15-13, the Court removed Ms. Rackley	Note: The beneficiaries of the
092013, 111513, 022114, 061314,	and appointed the PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR .	estate are Elaine Rackley,
092914, 033015,	Status Report filed 11/4/15 states the Public	Marilyn Hamby, and the Estate of Irene Hamby.
060115, 072715,	Administrator has been delayed due to	Estate of field flamby.
092114	outstanding balances due the IRS for prior tax	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	years. The PA's CPA received the IRS and FTB	
Verified	transcripts, and after reviewing them,	
Inventory	determined that the tax returns from 2001-2005 needed to be prepared. The 1999 and 2000	
PTC	taxes were written off by the IRS and FTB. The	
Not.Cred.	accountant has completed the individual	
Notice of	federal and state returns from 2003-2005 and is	
Hrg	finalizing 2001 and 2002. It is anticipated these	
Aff.Mail	will be completed soon. It is respectfully requested that the next status hearing be set	
Aff.Pub.	for 60 days from the date of this hearing.	
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen	_	
Letters	╡	
Duties/Supp		
Objections	_	
Video		
Receipt	=	
CI Report	=	
9202	_	
Order Aff Posting	- 	Paviawad byr ska
Aff. Posting Status Rpt	\dashv	Reviewed by: skc Reviewed on: 11/10/15
UCCJEA	-	Updates:
Citation	┪	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	┪	File 3 – Hamby
I ID MORCE		The 0-Hamby

Attorney

LeVan, Nancy J. (for Jonathan Holcomb, Executor)

Probate Status Hearing Re: Failure to File a First Account and/or Petition for Final Distribution

DOD: 10/26/2007	JONATHAN HOLCOMB, son, was appointed Executor with Full IAEA authority without bond on 5/26/2009. Letters issued on 5/26/2009.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: OFF CALENDAR
Cont. from 011014,	Pursuant to Probate Code § 8800(b), Final	First and Final Account filed 11/13/2015 is set for hearing
031114, 081114, 111014, 012615, 072015, 092115 Aff.Sub.Wit.	Inventory and Appraisal was due 9/26/2009. Final Inventory and Appraisal was filed on 3/25/2014 showing an estate value of \$23,738.31.	on 1/19/2016. Continued from 9/21/2015. Minute Order states counsel
Verified Inventory	First account and/or petition for final distribution	requests 60 days. Note for background: Minute
Acct. X Not.Cred. Notice of	was due in May 2010. Notice of Status Hearing filed 11/15/2013 set a	Order dated 7/20/2015 states counsel requests an additional 60 days. The Court orders that
Hrg Aff.Mail	status hearing on 1/10/2014 for failure to file the inventory and appraisal and first account and petition for final distribution.	if the First Account is not filed at least two court days prior to 9/21/2015, then a declaration
Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv.	Status Report filed by Jonathan Holcom on 9/16/2015 for the previous status hearing states:	verified by Jonathan Holcomb must be filed by then, or the Court will consider imposing
Conf. Screen Letters	 To date, the estate brokerage account total is \$20,941.21; The brokerage firm now has in their 	sanctions. 1. Need first and final
Duties/Supp Objections Video	possession the 279.219 shares of Principal Investors stock valued at close to \$3,000.00, and he has requested the brokerage firm to	account, or verified status report pursuant to Probate Code § 12200, and proof
CI Report 9202	 sell the stock, and he is hoping to have the funds deposited by 9/18/2015; The State Controller has indicated they have tried to send the 45.83 shares of 	of service of notice of the status hearing pursuant to Local Rule 7.5(B).
Order Aff. Posting	Principal Investors Money stock to the brokerage firm two times, and both times it was rejected and returned due to an error	Reviewed by: LEG
Status Rpt X UCCJEA Citation	 with the numbers; After the shares have been deposited, he will be able to file the final accounting and 	Reviewed on: 11/10/15 Updates: 11/13/15 Recommendation:
FTB Notice	petition for distribution.	File 4 – Holcomb

5 Guardian Mother

Mychael John Salvador (GUARD/P)
Bradshaw, Alicia Ann (pro per – maternal great-aunt) Salvador, Krystal (pro per – Petitioner)

Case No. 09CEPR00862

Petition for Termination of Guardianship

Age: 8			KRYSTAL SALVADOR, mother, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Petitioner.	
			ALICIA ANN BRADSHAW, maternal great-aunt, was appointed guardian on 04/01/10.	 Need Notice of Hearing. Need proof of service by mail at least 15 days before the hearing
Co	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt	X	Father: MARK THOMPSON, JR. Paternal grandfather: MARK THOMPSON, SR. Paternal grandmother: UNKNOWN Maternal grandparents: DECEASED Petitioner requests [see file for details]. Court Investigator Jennifer Daniel filed a report on 11/06/15.	of Notice of Hearing or Consent & Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence for: a. Alicia Ann Bradshaw (guardian) b. Mark Thompson, Jr. (father) c. Mark Thompson, Sr. (paternal grandfather) d. Paternal grandmother (unknown)
✓	CI Report			
	9202			
✓	Order			
<u> </u>	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 11/12/15
	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 5 – Salvador

Case No. 11CEPR00689

Atty Neilson, Bruce A. (for Janette Courtney – Executor – Petitioner)
Atty Dawson, Joanne E. (Pro Per – Beneficiary – Objector)

(1) First and Final Account and Report of Executor and Petition for Its Settlement,
 (2) for Allowance to Executor and Attorneys for Compensation for Ordinary and Extraordinary
 Services and for (3) Final Distribution

Services and for (3) Final Distribution			
DO	D: 6-9-11	JANETTE COURTNEY, Executor with Full IAEA without bond,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/
		is Petitioner.	COMMENTS:
	nt from 022515,	Account period: 9-15-11 through present Accounting: \$560,956.26 Beginning POH: \$498,824.07	Note: Trial on this matter was held on 6/30/15 in Dept. 21.
	2615, 050715	Ending POH: \$84,654.37 cash	Pursuant to the Court's Ruling
<u> </u>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	(Mariposa real property now distributed)	After Hearing on Objections to First And Final Accounting
>	Verified	Executor (Statutory): \$14,171.36	entered 8/5/15, the
>	Inventory	Attorney Bruce A. Neilson (Statutory): \$14,171.36	objections were overruled except for the claim that
>	PTC	Attorney Bruce A. Neilson (Extraordinary): \$1,000.00	petitioner has failed to
>	Not.Cred.	(for services in connection with the sale of the Visalia	properly account for and
>	Notice of Hrg	commercial real property, pursuant to Local Rule 7.18.A.)	disclose the bank account
>	Aff.Mail w	Attorney Scott Ivy (Extraordinary): \$62,049.28	held by the decedent at the
	Aff.Pub.	(for services in connection with the litigation filed against	time of her death at JP
	Sp.Ntc.	Petitioner and this estate, pursuant to declaration and	Morgan Chase Bank and/or the proceeds of that account
	Pers.Serv.	itemization at Exhibit B) Petitioner has already paid said attorney compensation from her own funds and requests	withdrawn in August 2012. The
	Conf. Screen	reimbursement from the estate.	petition was remanded to
>	Letters 9-15-11		Probate for further
	Duties/Supp	Closing: \$2,500.00	proceedings consistent with
>	Objections	Petitioner states because there insufficient funds in the	this ruling.
	Video Receipt	estate to pay the executor and attorney's fees in full,	Therefore, this matter was
	CI Report	Petitioner and her attorney will accept a prorated portion of the cash remaining in the estate after the	reset for 11/16/2015 pursuant
>	9202	reserve tor taxes and closing expenses is deducted. Any	to Notice of Hearing Setting,
>	Order	unused portion of the reserve after the above payments	mailed to Attorney Neilson on
		shall be distributed in equal shares to the residuary	<u>10/8/15.</u>
		beneficiaries.	CEE ADDITIONAL DAGES
-	A# Dooling as	Distribution pursuant to Decedent's will:	SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES
	Aff. Posting	Steven Thomas: Real property in Mariposa (specific	Reviewed by: skc (LEG on 11/13/15)
-	Status Rpt	bequest, distributed per Order on 2/27/15)	Reviewed on: 11/10/15
	UCCJEA	Petitioner states after payment of the expenses of	Updates: 11/13/15
	Citation	administration as set forth above there does not appear	Recommendation:
~	FTB Notice	to be any remaining cash for distribution to the	File 4 - Drummond
	TID Nonec	beneficiaries. Any remaining cash assets after closing	The 4 Brommond
		expenses, attorney's fees and executor's fees are paid	
		will be divided in equal shares to Janette Courtney, David A. Thomas, Joanne E. Dawson, and Sandra L.	
		Thompson.	
Ц		mompoon.	

6 Loretta M. Drummond (Estate) Case No. 11CEPR00689

Page 2

Status Report by Attorney filed by Bruce A. Neilson on 11/12/2015 states a copy of the Department 21 order with the Court's ruling [Judge Ellison, filed 11/12/2015] is attached as Exhibit A; also filed concurrently is a declaration of Janette Courtney concerning the Chase Bank account which was the only matter left undetermined, and a supplemental declaration updating the cash on hand; also accompanying the declaration is a revised proposed order for distribution in this matter.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. Need Notice of Hearing of this re-set hearing pursuant to applicable code and Notice of Hearing Setting mailed to Attorney Neilson on 10/8/15.

The following issues remain noted for reference:

2. Petitioner paid extraordinary fees totaling \$62,049.28 to Attorney Scott Ivy in connection with the litigation against the estate, and requests reimbursement. The Court may require clarification with reference to Cal. Rules of Court 7.700.

Note: Exhibit B, Attorney Declaration Re Compensation, describes the benefit to the estate, and provides itemization in the form of billing statements for services in connection with the Petition to Determine Validity of Trust Instruments filed 12-19-11 in this matter and the related civil action, 11CECG04320. The declaration states the litigation was successfully settled to the benefit of the estate, as the Drummond Company agreed not to seek collection of outstanding loans owing by the decedent, saving the estate in excess of \$200,000.00. The litigation had stalled the sale of the Visalia property owned by the estate, and by the settlement, the complaining party agreed not to object to the sale, opening the way for the sale to provide funds to the estate. The parties agreed that the settlement would not impair or impede Petitioner's right to petition the probate court for reimbursement of her attorney's fees and costs incurred in the litigation. Petitioner paid for the defense from her own funds, for reasonable attorney's fees for extraordinary services, and should be reimbursed for \$62,049.28.

Update: Please note discussion re this amount in the Objection and Response.

- 3. Many of the expenses charged include expenses considered by this Court to be costs of doing business and not reimbursable, such as charges for photocopies, computer research fees, clerical services, travel/telephonic appearance costs, and runner/document services. The Court may disallow these charges. (Examiner calculates a total of \$1,251.86 in non-reimbursable expenses.)
- 4. The total cost also includes \$3,867.82 in interest charged on the various billing statements. The Court may require clarification or authority for interest charges on extraordinary fees not yet authorized by the Court.

Case No. 11CEPR00689

Page 3

Declaration Re Attorney Fee Reimbursement filed 2-24-15 states the examiner notes expressed that the Court may require clarification of the attorney fee reimbursement in light of CA Rule of Court 7.700. Mr. Neilson submits the following in response: The rule of court cited is to prohibit payment from estate assets prior to court authorization. In this case, no estate assets were used to pay the litigation attorney fees at issue; they were paid from the petitioner's own assets. Reimbursement is now sought to obtain court approval of reimbursement. This procedure was contemplated by the settlement of the litigation, which settlement agreement, approved by this Court (Judge Oliver), provided that the settlement agreement will not impair or impede Janette's right to petition the probate court for reimbursement of some or all of her attorney's fees and costs incurred in the litigation from the estate (attached). Petitioner is following that contemplated procedure and is now seeking the Court's approval.

Objections were filed 3-23-15 by Joanne E. Dawson. (<u>Note</u>: The caption indicates that Ms. Dawson is filing the objections "Oh Behalf of Respondents" including herself, David A. Thomas, Sandra L. Thompson and Steven Thomas; however, Ms. Dawson is not an attorney, and the Objections are only verified by Ms. Dawson, Steven Thomas, and David Thomas.)

Objector states shortly after the decedent passed away, Petitioner advised Objector that she planned to keep the decedent's bank accounts out of probate and divide the monies among beneficiaries after the will was probated. She also confided to David A. Thomas that she intended to "hide money" from Probate. On or about 2-10-15, Respondents received the petition and discovered that bank accounts had not been included in the inventories. Respondents are aware of at least three accounts (see Exhibit A) and believe others may exist at various banks.

Objector states the Disbursements Schedule shows that at least two separate accounts (pursuant to check numbers referenced) were used to pay the itemized debts, but there is no indication of the source or amount of funds used to fund the second account. Respondents also believe certain check numbers are unaccounted for and were used for unauthorized purposes.

Objector states the executor intentionally failed to make a single payment on the Mariposa mortgage despite the fact that moneys were available, and failed to take all steps reasonably necessary for the management, protection and preservation of the estate in her possession pursuant to Probate Code §9650(2)(b) or surrender the property to the beneficiary. Instead, she unreasonably and without just cause dragged out the probate process for nearly four years waiting for the mortgage holder to foreclose on the Mariposa property to the detriment of Steve Thomas. In doing so, she failed to manage the estate with ordinary care and diligence required by §9600.

Disbursements schedule indicates that Petitioner paid herself \$8,810.04 for "funeral expenses;" however the itemization confirms that few of the expenses were related to the funeral, and it is unclear which bank account the expenses were paid from.

Objector refers to several specific accounts, including funds inherited by the decedent from her mother's trust, believed to be held by the decedent at her death and states Petitioner advised Respondent David Thomas that she invested the inherited funds in her own house flipping/remodeling company, then later denied that. Respondents seek a full accounting of the investments that were not included in the estate.

Case No. 11CEPR00689

Page 4

Objector objects to petitioner's request for reimbursement of \$62,049.28 "paid from her own funds." Respondents note that several attorney invoices are merely duplicates of other attached invoices, and it appears the amount actually paid was \$30,395.20. Respondents further allege the payments were made from the decedent's accounts.

Objector states there were insufficient receipts to cover the disbursements and it appears that the business (Drummond Company) and personal receipts and disbursements are lumped together on the same schedules. There is no explanation as to how disbursements were funded.

Objector alleges that the accounting does not comply with Probate Code §§ 1060-1064, and Petitioner should be required to reimburse the estate for the value of assets not accounted for and not included. Based on the less than transparent handling of the decedent's estate, Petitioner is not entitled to the statutory fee requested. Further, Attorney Neilson failed repeatedly to provide documentation and failed to exercise his fiduciary duty to protect the estate for all beneficiaries and failed to timely bring the estate to closure is not entitled to receive the statutory attorney fee.

Objector requests that:

- 1. Janette Courtney shall be removed as Executor and shall receive no fee due to her failure to properly administer, protect, and prosecute Decedent's estate with reasonable care;
- 2. Janette Courtney shall reimburse the estate for the \$8,810.04 for alleged "funeral expenses" that were actually paid from Decedent's accounts;
- 3. Janette Courtney shall produce to Respondents all documentation requested as set forth in Exhibit E within 15 days;
- 4. Janette Courtney shall provide a true and accurate accounting of all investments made by or on behalf of Decedent within 15 days;
- 5. Janette Courtney shall reimburse Decedent's estate for the value of any and all assets that were required to be included in, but were withheld from, the probate of Decedent's estate;
- 6. Janette Courtney shall have 15 days to answer interrogatories concerning Decedent's estate to be propounded by Respondents pursuant to California Probate Code §88701
- 7. Attorney Neilson shall, within 15 days, submit an accounting of actual dates and times spent on the prosecution of Decedent's estate;
- 8. Residuary cash in Decedent's estate shall be applied to arrearages on the mortgage on the Mariposa property;
- 9. Such further order as the Court deems proper and just.

Petitioner Janette Courtney and Attorney Bruce Neilson filed declarations in response to Objections on 4-9-

15. Petitioner states she informed Objector that the bank accounts on which she had joint ownership passed to her without administration and would not be part of the estate. She states she made no statement to David Thomas that she intended to hide money from probate. Objectors apparently did not understand that Petitioner had right of survivorship. Petitioner states she was informed by her mother that she cashed out her investments accounts long before her death.

As part of her investigation of potential estate assets, Petitioner went to various banks and asked for printouts of her mother's accounts, which show that Petitioner is the co-owner with right of survivorship. She was unable to get any information on the account used to run the Lucky Logger business in Mariposa and which account had paid the mortgage there.

Case No. 11CEPR00689

Page 5

The email referred to pertains to Dennis Thomas' interference with the probate process. He obtained possession of the vehicle in their mother's name without authorization and subsequently gave the vehicle to Steven Thomas, who continued the interference in the probate process by failing to give the vehicle back to Petitioner and instead used it and took it to a body shop due to damage. The vehicle dispute went into 2013 and Petitioner did not find out about the damage and that it was left at a body shop until then. Title had to be signed over due to storage fees.

Petitioner states she was on her mother's accounts and wrote checks for household expenses. She states the decedent wanted the monies to go to Petitioner on her death and that is why she made Petitioner a co-owner and beneficiary. Attached is the email thread. Petitioner has always claimed these were accounts with right of survivorship and has provided documentation.

Petitioner states Ms. Dawson is incorrect – there was only one estate bank account used to pay the itemized debts. The first five check numbers are temporary checks provided by the bank until the ordered checks were delivered. See response for further explanation.

Petitioner states the mortgage on the Mariposa property was not included in the debts to be paid because the property was to go to Steve Thomas under the will, subject to the mortgage. Steve lived on the property and the mortgage had been made from the Lucky Logger account, which was under his control. He apparently stopped making the payments. Petitioner gave permission for the bank to discuss the account with Steve. It has been known since 2009 that the property was not worth the total owed and Petitioner could not justify estate funds to be spent on such an asset, so she left this for Steve Thomas to negotiate, since he lived on the property.

See declaration for explanation of funeral expenses and additional accounts.

Petitioner states she has reviewed the attorney's fee reimbursement request, which appeared correct pursuant to the invoices. She could not double check because she was moving and records were unavailable. Upon review, Petitioner states she has paid the sum of \$48,695.20 to attorney Scott Ivy's firm. Petitioner realized that her husband had negotiated to reduce the fees. \$47,895.20 was paid from Petitioner's personal accounts.

Petitioner states she has tried to pursue the probate to the best of her ability. The litigation brought by a sibling was not resolved until dismissed in May 2013. The sale of the Visalia property was delayed by that litigation and did not close until May 2013. Thereafter there was the ongoing dispute re the vehicle. In 2014 they discussed and resolved to not dispute Steve Thomas' takeover of the Lucky Logger business, inasmuch as it was willed to him, even though the estate was stuck with the business' state tax lien of over \$11,000.00. For several months, Steve complained about the cost of a horse on the property where he lived, but they ultimately determined that the horse went with the ranch that was going to Steve. The final inventory was sent to the Probate Referee in December 2014. Petitioner understands some delay was also attributable to the press of business of her attorney as a sole practitioner.

Attorney Neilson's declaration provides additional information regarding the administration of the estate.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Monday, November 16, 2015

Page 6

Reply of Respondents/Objectors (Joanne Dawson, Steven Thomas, David Thomas) to Janette Courtney's Declaration in Response to Objections filed 5/28/15 states documentation contradicts Ms. Courtney's representation that she is the co-owner and had right of survivorship on all accounts. Objectors have requested additional documentation from Mr. Neilson but not has been provided. Ms. Courtney's declaration indicates activity on one of the accounts consistent with her representation that she intended to "hide" funds from probate process. Objectors deny that she stated or explained or even believed at the time that the accounts belonged to her and were not subject to probate, and Objectors believe and allege that her understanding of the accounts is explained in her own unsolicited email wherein she explains that "Mom put me on her accounts so I could pay her bills." Objectors state they are concerned by the secrecy and lack of transparency in the accounting as reflected by Ms. Courtney's unwillingness to share documents to easily put issues to rest.

Objector state Ms. Courtney states she did not continue making mortgage payments subsequent to the decedent's death because the Mariposa property was willed to Steven Thomas subject to the mortgage. There is nothing in Decedent's will stating that the property was to be given to him subject to the mortgage, which, upon information and belief, was brokered by Ms. Courtney and signed in her home state of Kentucky, not in California where Decedent resided. While she is correct that the payments on the property had been made from the liquor store account when Decedent was alive, Decedent regularly funded that account for the purpose of making the payments. Furthermore, Objectors call the court's attention to the fact that Ms. Courtney continued to encumber the Mariposa property for a period of 2 years after the decedent's death before giving the mortgage holder permission to speak to Steven Thomas. Ms. Courtney claims she "never interfered with the mortgage payments being made." Objectors assert that she violated her fiduciary duty to the estate and its beneficiaries by failing to make the mortgage payments for a period of two years before giving the mortgage holder permission to speak to Steven Thomas. She claims she knew the property was under water because she attempted to refinance through Bank of America, but Steve Thomas has diligently searched for this alleged appraisal and has been advised that no such appraisal exists for 2009.

Petitioner requests to be reimbursed for more that \$65,000 in fees allegedly needed for litigation with Dennis Thomas related to the estate. She now claims that unbeknownst to her, her husband had negotiated those fees down to \$47,895.20. She claims those payments were made from her own account. Objectors believe the money used to pay those fees came from Decedent's accounts which Petitioner deposited in her own account. Further the litigation between Dennis Thomas and Petitioner was necessitated by her failure to abide by a 2008 agreement that she and the decedent had signed to purchase shares of stock that Dennis Thomas owned in the Drummond Company. Rather than pay Dennis Thomas \$17,000, she incurred attorney fees in excess of \$65,000. In her own words, her frivolous decision to invite litigation rather than pay the debt amounted to nothing more than "throwing good money after bad." Objectors believe and allege that her demands to be reimbursed attorney fees incurred as a result of litigation that she needlessly created would result in wasting what little remains of the estate's assets, throwing good money after bad. She should not be allowed to profit from her own gross failure to fulfill her duties as executor.

Page 7

Objectors state (cont'd): Petitioner claims an ongoing dispute over the decedent's GMC Suburban delayed the settlement of the estate for another two years after the litigation was settled. Objector believe the vehicle may have been part of Drummond Company equipment that would have passed to Dennis Thomas with the settlement of the litigation. During those two years, Petitioner gave the vehicle to Irene Cline, the company's accountant and office manager, to use as a company vehicle. This resulted in depreciation of a potential asset to the estate. Had she sold the vehicle, the residual estate would have been enriched by more than \$10,000.

Petitioner claims she waited until 2014 (3 years after decedent's death) before deciding not to dispute the takeover of the Lucky Logger business by Steve Thomas. In point of fact, she did everything possible to facilitate this transaction because she did not want the estate to pay taxes incurred by the business prior to the decedent's death. By refusing to pay those taxes, the estate was forced to pay penalties and interest nearly twice the original tax bill out of funds from the sale of the Visalia property. Had she properly exercised her duties as executor, the estate assets would be increased by an additional \$5-6,000.

Objectors believe Petitioner had no legitimate or credible explanation for taking 4 years to bring the estate to closure. Objectors are perplexed and concerned with her efforts to maintain secrecy surrounding all aspects of the handling of their mother's estate. Contrary to attorney Neilson's statement to the court, most of the documents requested by Objectors have never been produced. Of the documents requested in Exhibit E, of the 10 categories, only #4 has been produced.

Objectors respectfully request the Court order:

- 1. Janette Courtney shall be removed as Executor and receive no fee due to her failure to properly administer, protect, and prosecute Decedent's estate with reasonable care;
- 2. Janette Courtney shall reimburse the estate \$8,810.04 for alleged funeral expenses that were actually paid from Decedent's accounts;
- 3. Janette Courtney shall produce to Respondents/Objectors all documentation requested as set forth in Exhibit E within 15 days;
- 4. Janette Courtney shall provide a true and accurate accounting of all investments made by, or on behalf of, Decedent within 15 days;
- 5. Janette Courtney shall reimburse Decedent's estate for the value of any and all assets that were required to be included in, but were withheld from, the probate of Decedent's estate;
- 6. Janette Courtney shall have 15 days to answer interrogatories concerning the estate to be propounded by Respondents pursuant to Probate Code §8870;
- 7. Attorney Neilson shall, within 15 days, submit an accounting of actual dates and times spent on the prosecution of Decedent's estate;
- 8. Residuary cash in Decedent's estate shall be applied to arrearages on the mortgage on the Mariposa property;
- Janette Courtney shall produce Decedent's complete tax returns for 2011 and the 5 years period preceding her death;
- 10. An independent auditor shall be appointed by the Court;
- 11. Such further orders as the Court deems proper and just.

Flanigan, Philip M. (for John T. Laettner – Executor)

Status Hearing Re: Filing of the First Account and/or Petition for Final Distribution

DO	D: 01/16/2005	JOHN T. LAETTNER, son, was appointed Executor with	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		full IAEA authority without bond on 01/23/2013.	
			Minute Order of 03/30/2015:
		Letters issued 01/29/2013.	Counsel requests continuance
	nt. from 032814,		due to the quiet tittle action in
	3015	Inventory and Appraisal filed 07/10/2013 shows a	Nebraska.
030	Aff.Sub.Wit.	value of \$12,892.00.	
-		Status Poport (for Hogging data of 03/30/3015) filed	Need First Account or Petition for Final Distribution.
	Verified	Status Report (for Hearing date of 03/30/2015) filed 03/09/2015 John T. Laettner needed to be appointed	reillion for final distribution.
	Inventory	as Executor of the decedent's estate in order to	
	PTC	receive assets from the ancillary probate in Nebraska.	
	Not.Cred.	The sole asset in the Nebraska probate is an interest in	
	Notice of	mineral rights, and these rights have been the subject	
	Hrg	of a quiet title action. The initial trial court ruling on	
	Aff.Mail	08/06/2013, and the later final "appealable" order	
	Aff.Pub.	issued on 01/16/2015, were not in favor of the	
	Sp.Ntc.	decedent. An appeal has been filed with the	
	Pers.Serv.	Nebraska Court of Appeals, case number A-15-00073.	
	Conf.	Counsel in the quiet title action estimates that it will be approximately six months before a final determination	
	Screen	is made on appeal.	
	Letters	is made on appeal.	
	Duties/Supp	Wherefore, counsel respectfully requests a one year	
	Objections	continuance to allow a final determination of the	
		appeal and completion of the ancillary probate	
	Video	proceeding.	
	Receipt		
	CI Report	Declaration of Danielle R. Guerrero and Status Report	
	9202	filed 11/13/2015 states John T. Laettner needed to be	
 	Order	appointed as Executor of the decedent's estate in	
	Aff. Posting	order to receive an interest in mineral rights in Nebraska that were the subject of a quiet title action.	Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt	The initial trial court ruling on 08/06/2013, was not in	Reviewed on: 11/12/2015
	UCCJEA	favor of Mr. Laettner and the appeal filed with the	Updates: 11/13/2015
	Citation	Nebraska Court of Appeals, case number A-1500073,	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	was denied. A petition to close a no-asset estate has	File 7-Mullennix
		been prepared and counsel is waiting on the receipt	
		of the verification page from petitioner. It is	
		respectfully requested that this matter be continued to	
		45 days pending the receipt of verification and filing of	
		the petition.	
			7

Natalie Ortega & Vanity Saldivar (GUARD/P) Case No. 13CEPR00151 Saldivar, Rosalinda Galvan (pro per – paternal grandmother/Petitioner)

Petitioner

Saldivar, Richard (pro per – paternal grandfather/Petitioner)

Petition - Appoint Guardian Petitioner

Ag	e: 12		TEMPORARY EXPIRES 11/16/15	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Co	e: 12 ont. from 06291 0315, 091415 Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf.	5, n/a w/	RICHARD SALDIVAR and ROSALINDA SALDIVAR, paternal grandparents, are Petitioners. Father: RUSTY SALDIVAR – Personally served on 09/11/15 Mother: AMBER STICKLES – Personally served on 07/11/15 Maternal grandfather: CARL SHARP – Personally served on 07/11/15 Maternal grandmother: TONYA SHARP – Personally served on 07/11/15 Petitioners state [see Petition for details]. Court Investigator Samantha Henson filed a report on 06/22/15.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: This Petition pertains to Vanity only. Cutberto & Irene Jimenez were appointed as co-guardians of Natalie on 03/25/15. CONTINUED FROM 09/14/15 Minute order from 09/14/15 states: Petitioners represent that the father will be released from prison on October 27 and will be living in their home thereafter. Matter is continued for consent from the father.
	Screen			
✓ ✓	Letters Curre			
Ě	Duties/Supp Objections			
✓ ✓	Video Receipt CI Report 9202 Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 11/10/15
✓	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
<u> </u>	FTB Notice			File 8 – Ortega & Saldivar

9 2013 Walter Edward Eastwood Revocable Trust Case No. 14CEPR00069

Attorney

LeVan, Nancy J. (for Susan Brown – Trustee – Petitioner)

Amended First and Final Account of Trustee; Petition for Allowance of Fees for her Attorney; Reimbursement to Trustee for Out of Pocket Expenses Petition; Reimbursement of Labor and Materials and for Distribution and Termination of the Trust

DO	D: 3/21/14		SUSAN BROWN, Trustee with bond of	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			\$74,000.00, is Petitioner.	Adiante Order 0/20/15. The Countie
			Account period: 8/23/13 – 4/4/15	Minute Order 9/30/15: The Court is willing to approve \$5,000 to each
			Accounting: \$236,090.27	beneficiary between now and
	nt. from 08261	5,	Beginning POH: \$205,417.82	11/16/15. (Orders signed 10/5/15.)
093	015		Ending POH: \$111,831.88 (cash)	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Trustee (Reimbursement): \$6,557.22	Petitioner states the total deposits made to the trust account by Susan
~	Verified		Petitioner states the total amount of	Brown is \$12,657.22, and she has
	Inventory		deposits made to the trust account	been repaid \$6,100.00 during the
	PTC		by Susan Brown is \$12,657.22. The	course of administration, for a
	Not.Cred.		amount that has been repaid to	balance owing of \$6,557.22.
~	Notice of Hrg		Susan Brown during the course of	However, the schedules appear to
~	Aff.Mail	W	administration is \$6,100.00. The remaining balance owing to Susan	show receipts of \$8,450.00 during this account period from Susan
Ė	Aff.Pub.	VV	Brown is \$6,557.22. Declaration	Brown (not \$12,657.22), and
	Sp.Ntc.		details extensive work required on	disbursements to her of \$5,833.80
	Pers.Serv.		the residence and the benefit to the	(not \$6,100.00). Need clarification.
	Conf.		estate of such work performed by	The Court may require that these
	Screen		family, etc.	loans to the trust estate be detailed in separate schedules for review,
	Letters		Buyers: \$3,488.98. Petitioner states	rather than Examiner sifting through
	Duties/Supp		the decedent's home was sold to the	to identify relevant individual line
	Objections		Kerbys, who purchased materials	items.
	Video		and provided labor to bring the	2. As previously noted, need
	Receipt		home up to code into a condition where it could be sold. In addition to	itemization for requested attorney
	CI Report		what the trust has paid them up to	compensation or authority for this
	9202		this point, the trustee requests	amount without itemization.
	Order	Х	authorization to pay the Kirbys an	3. Need recalculated distribution with
			additional \$3,488.98. Declaration	reference to the preliminary distribution previously approved.
			provides itemization, explanation.	. , , , ,
	A 66 D 11		Attorney: \$1,500.00	4. Need order.
	Aff. Posting		,	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt UCCJEA		Petitioner requests distribution to the	Reviewed on: 11/10/15
	Citation		five beneficiaries in the amount of	Updates: Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		\$19,457.13 each and termination of the trust. (The five heirs are Susan	File 9 – Eastwood
	. 15 1151166		Brown, Cynthia Taylor, Gregory	ino / Eddinood
			Eastwood, Rebecca Garrison, and	
			Lori Eastwood.)	

10 Case No. 14CEPR00286

Elanora Vallandingham (Estate) Case No. 14CEPRO

Blum, Mark A (for Petitioner/Executor Paul Anthony Toste)

Probate Status Hearing RE: Filing of an Amended Petition Attorney

	Trobate States fleating Rt. Filling of all Affi	
DOD: 02/03/2014		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		OFF CALENDAR. Amended
		Petition for First and Final
Cont. from		Account filed 10/08/2015.
Aff.Sub.Wit.		<u>Hearing set for 12/02/2015.</u>
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of		
Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LV
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 11/12/2015
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 10 - Vallandingham

10

11 Willie Young (Estate)

Attorney: Sheryl D. Noel (for Administrator Howard Young)

Case No. 14CEPR00420

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of the First Account or Petition for Final Distribution

DOD: 6/17/13	HOWARD YOUNG was appointed	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Administrator with limited IAEA and	
	without bond.	
	Letters issued on 9/5/14.	
Cont. from 091415		Need first account, petition for
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Inventory and Appraisal filed on 2/13/15	final distribution.
Verified	showing the estate valued at	
Inventory	\$150,000.00	
PTC	Minute order dated 7/14/14 set this	
Not.Cred.	status hearing re: filing of the first	
Notice of	account or petition for final distribution.	
Hrg		
Aff.Mail	First account or petition for final	
Aff.Pub.	distribution is now due.	
Sp.Ntc.	Further Status Report filed 11/03/15	
Pers.Serv.	states: The Estate's sole asset is real	
Conf.	property located in Richmond, CA. A	
Screen	report of Sale and Petition for Order	
Letters	Confirming Sale of the sole asset is now scheduled for 01/04/16. Petitioner is	
Duties/Supp	working with the probate referee to	
Objections	have the necessary updated appraisal	
Video	of the property completed. The sale is	
Receipt	being re-noticed taking into account	
CI Report	the value the probate referee has	
9202	tentatively placed on the property. Petitioner is taking all the necessary	
Order	steps to obtain the highest possible sale	Paviawad by: VT/IE
Aff. Posting Status Rpt	price for the property. Once the sale of	Reviewed by: KT/JF Reviewed on: 11/10/15
UCCJEA	the property is concluded, the estate	Updates:
Citation	will be in a position to be closed.	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	-	File 11 – Young
1 10 Holice		THE TI - TOUTY

Case No. 14CEPR00438

Attorney Martinez, Vincent T. (of Santa Maria for W. Laird Durley – Executor/Petitioner)

Amended Petition for Final Distribution on Waiver of Accounting; Final Report of Administration; and for Allowance of Ordinary Compensation to Attorney for Ordinary Services

_	DD: 03/14/14	W. LAIRD DURLEY, Executor, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	70. 03/ 14/ 14	W. LAIRD BORLET, EXECUTOR, IST EMMORIER.	NEEDS/TROBLEMS/COMMENTS.
		Accounting is waived.	
Co	ont. from	& A	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	POH - \$1,677,499.50 (\$345,213.52 is cash)	
√	Verified	(\$0+0,210.02 is Casify	
√	Inventory	Executor - waived	
√	PTC	A44	
√	Not.Cred.	Attorney - \$39,612.13 (statutory)	
✓	Notice of	Costs - \$1,310 (filing fees,	
	Hrg	publication)	
✓	Aff.Mail w/	Clasia a	
	Aff.Pub.	Closing - \$1,000.00	
	Sp.Ntc.	Distribution, pursuant to Decedent's Will, is to:	
	Pers.Serv.	, person, person and p	
	Conf.	Graham C. Scown - \$100,000.00	
	Screen	Julia Parks Durley - \$200,000.00	
✓	Letters 07/25/14	W. Laird Durley - \$3,291.39 cash, plus	
	Duties/Supp	100% interest in real property located at 5090 N. Roosevelt, Fresno valued at	
	Objections	\$90,000.00;	
	Video	 50% interest in real property located in 	
	Receipt	Santa Barbara County (APN: 117-030-018)	
	CI Report	valued at \$655,000.00;	
√	9202	12.5% interest in mineral rights located in Santa Rayle and County (ARNa 101,070)	
✓	Order	Santa Barbara County (APNs: 101-070- 058, 101-070-068) valued at \$15,000.00;	
	Aff. Posting	 1/3 interest in real property located in 	Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt	Santa Barbara County (APN: 117-170-50)	Reviewed on: 11/12/15
	UCCJEA	valued at \$534,833.00;	Updates:
_	Citation	50% interest in oil and gas rights for	Recommendation: SUBMITTED
*	FTB Notice	property in Santa Barbara County (APNs:	File 12 – Durley
		117-030-018, 117-170-50) valued at	
		\$17,453.00; • Household furnishings and tangible	
		personal property	
Ц		p 5.351161 p10p 511 j	

13

Atty Renge, Lawson K. (for Administrator Ryan Missakian)

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of the First or Final Account

DOD: 10/3/2013	RYAN MISSAKIAN was appointed as	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Administrator with full IAEA and without bond on	
	7/21/2014. Letters issued on 7/22/14.	2. Need first and/or final
	I & A partial no. 1 was filed on 9/15/14 showing a	account, or verified status
Cont. from	value of \$375,000.00.	report pursuant to
Aff.Sub.Wit.	I & A partial no. 2 was filed on 3/12/15 showing a	Probate Code § 12200,
Verified	value of \$370,000.00 .	and proof of service of
Inventory	Alimute Order dated 0/15/2015 from the boaring	notice of the status
PTC	Minute Order dated 9/15/2015 from the hearing on the status of the inventory and appraisal set	hearing pursuant to Local
Not.Cred.	this status hearing on 11/16/2015 for filing of the	Rule 7.5(B).
Notice of	first and/or final account.	Note for background: Status
Hrg		Report Re Closure of Estate
Aff.Mail		filed on 7/24/2015 for the
Aff.Pub.		status hearing for filing the
Sp.Ntc.		inventory and appraisal
Pers.Serv.		informs the Court that the
Conf.		only remaining issue affecting
Screen		estate closure is the alleged "partnership interest" of
Letters		Decedent in agricultural
Duties/Supp		property on Golden State
Objections		Blvd. in Selma; beneficiaries
Video		propose that the partnership
Receipt		issue be resolved after
CI Report		probate distribution of the
9202		agricultural property to them from probate; no resolution of
Order	7	this issue has occurred.
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LEG
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 11/10/15
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 13 - Missakian

13

Annelyse Mycole Alvarez (GUARD/PE) Marshall, Jared C. (for Lorraine R. Alvarez – Guardian) Case No. 14CEPR00581

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of the First Account

	LORRAINE R. ALVAREZ, Maternal Grandmother, was appointed	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Guardian of the Person and Estate on 9/8/14 with bond of \$7,629.60.	OFF CALENDAR
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Bond was filed and Letters issued on 9/29/14.	First Account filed 11/10/15 is set for hearing on 1/7/16.
Verified	At the hearing on 9/8/14, the Court set	
Inventory PTC	this status hearing for the filing of the first	
Not.Cred.	account.	
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail	1	
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.]	
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 11/10/15
UCCJEA	4	Updates:
Citation	_	Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 14 - Alvarez

15

Teresa B. Petty (for Margaret Nichols)

First and Final Report of Administrator on Waiver of Account and Petition for Settlement Thereof; for Waiver of Administrator's Compensation for Ordinary Services; for Allowance of Attorney's Fees for Ordinary Services and for Final Distribution

DOD: 4/20/2014		MARGARET NICHOLS, spouse and		use and	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
		Administrator, is Petitioner.				
					Note: Statutory fee base does not	
			Accounting is wo	Accounting is waived.		include the \$4,530.08 loss on sale of
Со	nt. from 10261	5	1 & A		\$348,530.12	Decedent's recreational trailer.
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		POH	_	\$321,693.00	Correct statutory fee calculates as \$9,880.00 when the loss is taken into
1	Verified		_	(\$20,6	693.00 is cash)	account as required by Probate
√	Inventory		Administrator		waives	Code § 10800. Proposed order has
-	PTC		(statutory)		waives	been interlineated to reflect the
✓						correct statutory compensation for
✓	Not.Cred.		Attorney (statutory)		[\$9,880.00]	the attorney and the cash distribution to the estate
1	Notice of		(SIGIOIOIY)			beneficiary. (<u>Note</u> : Proposed order
	Hrg					includes the \$20,693.00 cash as
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	Distribution pursu	ant to int	estate	distributable to the beneficiary
	Aff.Pub.		succession is to:			without deducting the statutory
	Sp.Ntc.		MARGARET NICH	OLS - \$10) 813 00 cash	fee, which has now been corrected
	Pers.Serv.		real property, true	-	•	by the interlineation.)
	Conf.		furniture.	,	92, 22	
	Screen					
	Letters 0903	314				
	Duties/Supp					
	Objections					
	Video					
	Receipt					
	CI Report	<u> </u>				
✓	9202					
✓	Order					
	Aff. Posting					Reviewed by: LEG
	Status Rpt					Reviewed on: 11/13/15
	UCCJEA					Updates:
	Citation					Recommendation: SUBMITTED
✓	FTB Notice					File 15 - Nichols

- Atty Baldwin, Kenneth A.; Cunningham, Nicole; of McCormick Barstow (for Petitioners Seanna Haslouer and Amy Haslouer Hansen)
- Atty Burnside, Leigh W.; Johnson, Summer A.; of Dowling Aaron, Inc. (for Respondent Barbara Gill)

Probate Status Hearing Re: Resolution of Petition for Order to Return Property to Decedent's Estate

PIC	podie sidios ne	earir	g Re: Resolution of Petition for Order to Return Property to Deced	eni s esidie
DC	D: 10/25/2013		SEANNA HASLOUER and AMY HASLOUER HANSEN,	NEEDS/
	<u> </u>		grandchildren and Beneficiaries, filed on 9/26/2014 a Petition	PROBLEMS/
			for Order to Return Property to Decedent's Estate, seeking the	COMMENTS:
			return of specific items of personal property, and the	
			distribution to the Petitioners as the specific devisees certain	
Co	ont. from 01271	15	items of personal property, including jewelry.	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
	Verified		Respondent BARBARA GILL (caregiver) filed a Demurrer to	
✓	Verilled		Petition for Order to Return Property to Decedent's Estate;	
	Inventory		Memorandum of Points and Authorities on 11/6/2014.	
	PTC		Order on Demurrer filed 4/9/2015 overruled the demurrer.	
	Not.Cred.		Petitioners' Status Report filed by Kenneth Baldwin on	
	Notice of		11/5/2015 states:	
	Hrg		 This case involves, among other things, a petition to cause 	
1	Aff.Mail	W	Barbara Gill, the Trustee of the EVELYN AZARIAN LIVING	
		/	TRUST to return jewelry that was to have been distributed	
	Aff.Pub.		to Petitioners, the granddaughters of the Decedent, under	
	Sp.Ntc.		the terms of their grandmother's Trust;	
	•		Other issues arose including the accountings related to	
	Pers.Serv.		the administration of the Trust, the handling of the assets of	
	Conf.		the estate, and the control of the cremains of the	
	Screen		Decedent and her late husband;	
	Letters		On 5/5/2015, before the Honorable Jane Cardoza, the	
	Duties/Supp		parties met for a Mandatory Settlement Conference in the	
	Objections		matter;	
	Video		 Subsequent to the settlement conference, the parties 	
L	Receipt		reached a global agreement to resolve their differences;	
	CI Report		A settlement agreement was signed on or about A settlement agreement was signed on or about A settlement agreement was signed on or about	
	9202		9/14/2015, and includes terms for the return of the family jewelry to Petitioners, the handling of the cremains of the	
	Order		Decedent, review of accountings and bank statements,	
	Aff. Posting		final distribution of the residue of the EVELYN AZARIAN	Reviewed by: LEG
✓	Status Rpt		LIVING TRUST , and miscellaneous provisions to conclude the Trustee's responsibilities;	Reviewed on: 11/12/15
	UCCJEA		On or about 10/12/2015, his office received distributions for	Updates:
	Citation		his clients pursuant to the terms of the Trust;	Recommendation
	FTB Notice		He anticipates that before the end of this calendar year,	File 16 - Azarian
			the final distributions will be made and this matter will be	
			dismissed.	
				1./

Attorney Mark A. Blum (for Petitioner Mildred Thomsen)

Petition for Final Distribution on Waiver of Account and for Allowance of Statutory Compensation and Necessary Fees and Costs

DC	DD: 8/21/2013	MILDRED THOMSEN, spouse and Executor, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Accounting is waived.	
Co √	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified	I & A — \$4,984,719.35 POH — \$5,111,643.12 (\$152,509.60 is cash)	
√	Inventory	Executor — waives	
✓	PTC Not.Cred.	Attorney — \$60,000.00 (less than \$64,116.43 statutory)	
√ √	Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail W/	Costs — \$6,965.11 (filing fees, probate referee, publication, certified copies)	
	Aff.Pub.	Closing — \$1,000.00	
	Pers.Serv. Conf.	Distribution pursuant to Decedent's Will is to:	
	Screen 112514 Duties/Supp	MILDRED THOMSEN – entire interest in automobiles, furnishings, furniture, and	
	Objections	personal belongings;	
	Video Receipt	MILDRED THOMSEN as Trustee of the THOMAS THOMSEN TESTAMENTARY BYPASS	
	CI Report	TRUST – entire residue of the estate	
✓	9202	consisting of real properties, corporations,	
✓	Order	promissory notes, and miscellaneous	
	Aff. Posting	personal effects.	Reviewed by: LEG
	Status Rpt UCCJEA	-	Reviewed on: 11/12/15 Updates:
	Citation		Recommendation: SUBMITTED
✓	FTB Notice		File 17 - Thomsen

Attorney Lee S. W. Cobb (for Petitioner David Jessen)

First and Final Report of Status of Administration on Waiver of Account and Petition for Final Distribution; for Allowance of Ordinary Services by Attorney; and for Reimbursement of Costs Advanced

DOD: 7/28/2014			DAVID JESSEN, brother and Executor, is		NE	EDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
			Petitioner.		1	Pursuant to Probate Code §	
			Accounting is waived.		1.	10800(b), statutory fee base	
			_				calculation uses the total
Co	nt. from		I&A		\$218,549.11		amount of the appraisal
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		POH	— (\$220	\$232,180.80 180.80 is cash)		value of the property in the
✓	Verified			(ΨΖΖΟ,	100.00 13 Cashij		inventory, plus gains over the appraisal value on sales,
1	Inventory		Executor		waives		which have not been
1	PTC		Attornov		ICT 270 001		included in the statutory fee
			Attorney (less than statutory)		[\$7,370.98]		calculation stated in the
✓	Not.Cred.		1.000 1110111101010197				Petition as \$7,370.98. Correct statutory fee calculates as
✓	Notice of		Costs		\$1,509.94		\$7,643.62 , resulting in an
	Hrg		(filing fee, probate i	referee	e, publication,		undercharge of \$272.64 in
✓	Aff.Mail \	W/	deposit of will;)				statutory attorney fees. If
	Aff.Pub.		Closing	_	\$1,000.00		Petitioner requests the proper amount of statutory attorney
	Sp.Ntc.		(for final fiduciary e	state in	• •		fees, need revised proposed
	Pers.Serv.		return)				order reflecting correct
	Conf.		Dietribution nursusm	t to Do	oodont's Will is		statutory compensation and
	Screen		Distribution pursuan to:	ii io be	cedeni s wiii is		cash distribution.
	Letters 111914	4	1.0.			2.	Proposed order does not
	Duties/Supp		 BRIANNA JES 	SEN – Ş	\$25,000.00 cash;		comply with Local Rule 7.6.1,
	Objections				5,000.00 cash;		which provides that orders
	Video Receipt				0,149.94 cash?];		settling accounts and
	CI Report				,149.94 cash?]		distributing property shall contain a statement as to the
-	9202		and automo	bile.			balance of the estate on
 							hand, specifically noting the
 •	Order						amount of cash included in the balance.
	Aff. Posting					Re	viewed by: LEG
	Status Rpt						viewed on: 11/12/15
	UCCJEA					Up	dates:
	Citation						commendation:
✓	FTB Notice					File	e 18 - Galbreath
				-	-		10

19 Kimberly G Avitia & Linda V Gomez (GUARD/P) Case No. 14CEPR01097

Petitioner

Pacheco, Maria J. Recinos (Pro Per – Paternal Grandmother)
Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

Linda, 3	NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Cont. from Aff.Sub.Wit.	MARIA J. RECINOS PACHECO, Paternal Grandmother, is Petitioner. Please see petition for details	Note: Petition is as to minor, Linda V. Gomez, only. Maternal Grandmother, Maria E. Avita Perez, was appointed guardian on 02/05/2015. There does not appear to be a vacancy for appointment
✓ Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred.		of guardian at this time. Note: Petitioner, Maria J. Recinos Pacheco, was ordered visitations with the minor, Linda, every Tuesday and Wednesday from
✓ Notice of Hrg ✓ Aff.Mail		10am to 4pm, which started on 04/21/2015, and has continued.
Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv.		Need proof of personal service fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of
✓ Conf. Screen ✓ Letters		Guardian or consent and waiver of notice or declaration of due diligence for: • Rocio Gomez (Mother) –
✓ Duties/Supp Objections		Note: Affidavit of Unsuccessful Service states service was unsuccessful because defendant moved.
Video Receipt ✓ CI Report		Need proof of service fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Retition for Appaintment of Guardian or
9202		Petition for Appointment of Guardian or consent and waiver of notice or declaration of due diligence for: • Maria E. Avita Perez (Guardian) Note: Affidavit of Unsuccessful Service states service was unsuccessful because defendant moved. • Hector Gomez (Maternal Grandfather)
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LV
Status Rpt J UCCJEA		Reviewed on: 11/12/2015 Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 19 – Gomez

20 Attorney

Charles Hamilton Soley (Estate) Case No. 15CEPR00218

De Goede, Dale A. (for Executor Alene Y. Soley)

Probate Status Hearing RE: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal

DOD: 12/17/14	ALENE V. COLEV. Consisting Chause avera	т 1
DOD: 12/17/14	ALENE Y. SOLEY, Surviving Spouse, was	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	appointed Executor with Full IAEA	1 Nood Eingliß Amer Brobate Code
	without bond and Letters issued on	1. Need Final I&A per Probate Code
	4/15/15.	§8800 or written status report
Cont. from 091615	At the hearing on 4/15/15, the Court set	pursuant to Local Rule 7.5.
Aff.Sub.Wit.	this status hearing for the filing of the	
Verified	I&A.	
Inventory	Partial I&A No. 1 was filed on 11/4/15	
PTC	and reflects the decedent's ½	
Not.Cred.	community property interest in real	
Notice of	property valued at \$125,000.00.	
Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202	_	
Order]	
Aff. Posting]	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt]	Reviewed on: 11/10/15
UCCJEA]	Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 20 - Soley

Attorney Barry E. Weber (for Petitioner Barbara Arnold)

Petition for Final Distribution on Wavier of Accounting and Allowance of Statutory Commissions

DO	DD: 2/28/2013	BARBARA ARNOLD, sister and Administrator,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	ont. from	is Petitioner. Accounting is waived.	Petition states specific notice of administration under Probate Code § 9050 was not
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	& A	required. Probate Code §
	Verified	(no cash; ¼ interest in real property)	9050 provides notice shall be given, and it does not appear
√	verilled		that the exceptions provided
✓	Inventory	Administrator — \$7,000.00	in Probate Code § 9054 apply.
	PTC X	(statutory)	
	Not.Cred. X	Attorney — \$7,000.00	Petition states notice to the Franchise Tax Board was not
✓	Notice of	(statutory)	required. Probate Code §
	Hrg		9202(c)(1) provides not later
✓	Aff.Mail W	Distribution pursuant to intestate succession	than 90 days after the date
	0	is to:	letters are first issued to a
	Aff.Pub.		general personal representative, the general
	Sp.Ntc.	BARBARA ARNOLD – undivided ½	personal representative or
	Pers.Serv.	interest in Decedent's ¼ interest in real	estate attorney shall give the
	Conf.	property;	Franchise Tax Board notice of
	Screen		administration of the estate.
	Letters 052715	• JULIE CONROY – undivided ½ interest in	Letters issued to Petitioner on 5/27/2015. Need proof of
	Duties/Supp	Decedent's ¼ interest in real property.	service of notice to the
	Objections		Franchise Tax Board pursuant
	Video		to Probate Code 9202(c)(1),
-	Receipt		as there is no exception to this
	CI Report		requirement.
✓	9202		~Please see additional page~
✓	Order		rieuse see addillollal page
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LEG
	Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 11/12/15
	UCCJEA		Updates:
	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice X		File 21 - Conroy
			01

21 Additional Page, Dayle Louise Conroy (Estate) Case No. 15CEPR00383

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS, continued:

- 3. Notice was sent to Julie Conroy "c/o" Petitioner Barbara Arnold. Direct notice is required, even if the notice recipient is under conservatorship, pursuant to CA Rule of Court 7.51(c), unless dispensed with by the Court.
- 4. Decedent's sister, Julie Conroy, is conserved under the Conservatorship of the Person of Julie Conroy, which was established in Fresno in 1982, and was transferred to Merced County on 2/2/2015, to Merced County Case 15PR-00054. Waiver of Accounting attached to the Petition for Final Distribution filed 10/13/2015 is signed by Petitioner Barbara Arnold as Conservator of Julie Conroy. Petition does not but should inform this Court regarding the existing Conservatorship, providing notification of whether a Conservatorship of the Estate of Julie Conroy exists in Merced County, and should address the protection of Conservatee Julie Conroy's distributive interest from the instant estate, consisting of ½ of Decedent's ¼ interest in the real property. Need additional information, proof of a conservatorship of the estate, and/or a revised proposed distribution which includes the distribution of the interest of Julie Conroy to an established conservatorship estate case in Merced County.
- 5. Waiver of Accounting attached to the Petition for Final Distribution filed 10/13/2015 is signed by Petitioner Barbara Arnold as Conservator of Julie Conroy. Court may require authority for waiver of an accounting by the Conservator on behalf of the Conservatee, or may require appointment of an independent party or attorney to represent the interests of the Conservatee, Julie Conroy.
- 6. Pursuant to Local Rule 7.12.4, the Court will not order distribution of real property in undivided interests without the written consent of all distributees. Need written consent of Conservatee Linda Conroy.
- 7. Exhibit A indicates the estate consists of a ¼ interest in a 40-acre parcel of land in Madera County.

 Petition does not but should address the method of payment of the statutory commissions and attorney fees since there is no cash on hand in the estate.

22A

L. Ruth Buchman Credit Bypass Trust Case No. 15CEPR00609

Attorney Attorney Magness, Marcus D. (for Jeri Buchman Weil – Trustee – Petitioner)

Brennan, Stacey (of Sacramento, for Objectors Jan van Lienden and Jill Buchman)

Petition to Approve: (1) First Account and Report; and (2) Second and Final Account and

Report; and Petition for Instructions [Prob. Code §17200(b)(5), (b)(6)]

Ruth Buchman			JERI BUCHMAN WEIL, Trustee of the Ruth	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	DOD: 12/9/01		Buchman Credit Bypass Trust, is Petitioner.	Note: On 9/2/15, Jan van Lienden
	Roy Buchman DOD: 12/13/13			and Jill Allison Buchman filed a
	D: 12/13/13		Account period: 3/19/14 - 12/31/14	Petition for Relief from Breach of Trust
			Accounting: \$466,358.12	(Page C of this calendar).
			Beginning POH: \$459,090.70	,
	nt. from 07281	5,	Ending POH: \$102,042.75	Minute Order 9/8/15: Continued to
090	815, 101515	ı		meet up with the Petition for Relief
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Account period: 1/1/15 - 5/31/15	from Breach of Trust filed 9/2/15.
>	Verified		Accounting: \$103,977.51	
	Inventory		Beginning POH: \$102,042.75 Ending POH: \$70,763.11	
	PTC		Linding 1 On.	
	Not.Cred.		Receipts, Disbursements, Distributions,	
~	Notice of		etc., are detailed in the petition.	
	Hrg		·	
~	Aff.Mail	W	Trustee waives compensation.	
	Aff.Pub.		Attornova ¢0/ 504 71 /¢1/ 257 01 during	
	Sp.Ntc.		Attorney: \$26,584.71 (\$16,357.21 during the first account period and \$10,227.50	
	Pers.Serv. Conf.		during the second account period,	
			detailed in attorney's declaration.	
	Screen		·	
	Letters			
	Duties/Supp		during the second account period for	
~	✓ Objections		funds advanced to the attorney during the first account period because the	
	Video trust did not recover any of November 2014.		·	
	CI Report			
	9202		Attorney Magness was paid \$10,227.50	
>	Order		for services and costs during the second	
			account period, as detailed in the	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt		attorney's declaration.	Reviewed on: 11/10/15
	UCCJEA		 William Patterson, CPA, was paid	Updates:
	Citation		\$1,315.00 during the first account period	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		and \$50.00 during the second account	File 22A – Buchman
			period.	
			SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES	

Page 2

Petitioner states: Ruth and Roy Buchman established the Buchman Trust on 4/7/99. Ruth died in 2001 and under its terms, the trust was divided into two subtrusts: The Survivor's Trust and the Bypass Trust. Roy acted as trustee of both subtrusts until his death on 12/13/13.

On 10/4/07, Roy amended the Trust Agreement to name his new wife, Mary Ruth Buchman as successor trustee to the Survivor's Trust and to provide a pecuniary bequest to Mary Ruth. Roy died 12/13/13.

Upon assuming the role of trustee of the Bypass Trust, Petitioner learned that Mary Ruth had somehow closed all of the Bypass Trust accounts and comingled the Bypass Trust and Survivor's Trust assets. Petitioner engaged legal counsel to recover the assets belonging to the Bypass Trust, and through their respective counsel, Petitioner and Mary Ruth were able to negotiate a deal whereby the Bypass Trust was made substantially whole and in November 2014, assets were returned to the Bypass Trust's accounts.

Both subtrusts were to terminate upon Roy's death. Following recovery of the Bypass Trust's assets, Petitioner distributed a significant portion of the trust's assets to the beneficiaries thereof. Mary Ruth also made a preliminary distribution from the Survivor's Trust, but held back approx. 25% of the Survivor's Trust assets.

During the Second Account Period, Petitioner's counsel inquired of Mary Ruth's counsel over her plans to distribute the balance of the Survivor's Trust estate. Mary Ruth's counsel stated it was beign held as a reserve in case suit was ever brought to recover real or perceived damages caused by the misappropriation of the Bypass Trust's assets. He suggested that all affected parties enter into a settlement agreement and mutual release and upon execution thereof, the Survivor's Trust assets would be distributed.

A draft agreement was prepared by Petitioner's counsel. Almost immediately after circulating the draft agreement, Mary Ruth fired her second attorney. The other trust beneficiaries then implied that Petitioner or her attorney were somehow guilty of wrongdoing by circulating such a document. Beneficiary Jan Van Lienden also demanded that Petitioner provide a quarterly accounting, which led to the instant petition.

Petitioner states Mary Ruth has conditioned the distribution of the balance of the Survivor's Trust upon an agreement by the remainder beneficiaries that they not sue her. To date, Mary Ruth has not prepared or submitted a formal fiduciary accounting, so it is possible that she continues to hold assets that rightfully belong to the Bypass Trust. Petitioner is in doubt as to whether she, as trustee of the Bypass Trust, should incur expense to compel Mary Ruth to account for her actions as trustee of the Survivor's Trust, to confirm that the Bypass Trust has recovered all asset wrongfully taken by Mary Ruth, and to compel the final distribution of the Survivor's Trust.

Page 3

Alternatives: Petitioner states any of the individual beneficiaries of the Survivor's Trust could file such petition on their own behalf, but the beneficiaries of the Bypass Trust could also allege that Petitioner violated her fiduciary duty as trustee of the Bypass Trust for not taking this action on their behalves, as such action would require that they individually bear the expense for such action. Further, if the accounting reveals that the Bypass Trust should recover additional assets, then the beneficiaries could allege that Petitioner violated her fiduciary duty by not recovering same. Of course, the ultimate distribution whether under the Survivor's Trust or the Bypass Trust would be the same, as the remainder beneficiaries and their respective shares are identical.

Therefore, Petitioner requests that this court instruct Petitioner to either file the petition on behalf of the Bypass Trust against the trustee of the Survivor's Trust, or alternatively, not to file such petition, leaving the burden on the individual beneficiaries to seek such relief.

If the Court instructs Petitioner to compel the trustee of the Survivor's Trust to account, then Petitioner will comply with said instructions and termination of the Bypass Trust will be delayed until that action is resolved. If this court instructs Petitioner to not file such petition, then Petitioner plans to terminate the Bypass Trust and distribute \$15,000.00 to each beneficiary, holding \$15,000.00 as a reserve to cover final costs of administration.

Petitioner prays for an order as follows:

- 1. The First Account and Report of Petitioner be settled, allowed and approved as filed;
- 2. The Second Account and Report of Petitioner be settled, allowed and approved as filed;
- 3. That all acts and proceedings of Petitioner as trustee be confirmed and approved;
- 4. That this Court instruct Petitioner, acting in her capacity as trustee of the Bypass Trust, to either file, or not file, a petition to compel Mary Ruth to account for her actions as trustee of the Survivor's Trust, to confirm that the Bypass Trust has recovered all assets wrongfully taken by Mary Ruth, and to compel the final distribution of the Survivor's Trust; and
- 5. For all other orders that are just and proper.

<u>Examiner's Note</u>: If Petitioner is instructed to file a petition to compel Mary Ruth to account, such petition should be filed as a separate case for the Survivor's Trust pursuant to Local Rule 7.1.2.

Page 4

Objection filed 7/27/15 by Jan van Lienden and Jill Buchman states Petitioner's actions, specifically her need to "be in control," have wasted approx. \$50,000.00 of trust assets in payment of attorneys' fees that would not have been needed but for Petitioner's demand to serve as trustee of the Bypass Trust. Following their father's death in December 2013, his surviving spouse, Mary Ruth Buchman, informed Objectors that her attorney advised her that she was the successor trustee of the Survivor's Trust and the Bypass Trust. After receiving trust documents, Morgan Stanley recognized Mary Ruth as trustee of both trusts, confirmed that the beneficiaries were identical, and recommended that the accounts be consolidated. Mary Ruth informed Objectors that the broker combined the accounts without her prior approval. The broker received \$3,400.00 commission on the combination of the accounts which he was subsequently forced to return to the trust.

In March 2014, Petitioner determined that since the Bypass trust was irrevocable, the amendment that appointed Mary Ruth as successor only applied to the Survivor's Trust and the prior appointment of Petitioner still applied to the Bypass Trust. Mary Ruth assured Objectors that she and her counsel would cooperate with Petitioner's request that the Bypass Trust assets be transferred to Petitioner.

However, the accounting indicates that Petitioner continued to spend tens of thousands in attorneys' fees accusing Mary Ruth of misappropriating funds, which in turn forced Mary Ruth to spend thousands from the Survivor's Trust to defend herself.

As successor trustee of the Bypass Trust, Petitioner had a fiduciary duty to take actions to preserve the trust assets for all beneficiaries, and spending in excess of \$27,000 to aggressively attack Mary Ruth without cause was not consistent with this fiduciary duty. Petitioner and Objectors are equal beneficiaries of the residue of the Survivor's Trust and Bypass Trust. While Petitioner had a duty to take appropriate steps to ensure the Bypass Trust assets were accounted for, she did not need to spend this much wrestling for control of assets that were all to be distributed to the same beneficiaries.

Objectors provide facts and specific objections and respectfully request that the Court deny the petition as to approval of payment of attorneys' fees, deny Petitioner's request that all acts and proceedings of Petitioner as trustee be confirmed and approved, and deny Petitioner's request for instructions in its entirety.

Page 5

Petitioner's Reply filed 8/17/15 states Objectors' argument that Petitioner's acts as trustee were for her own benefit and "need to be in control" and were a waste of trust assets completely lacks substance. Jeri accepted the trusteeship and has dutifully acted in such capacity. When she accepted the trusteeship, she discovered that all assets held at Morgan Stanley had been moved to the Survivor's Trust and were under the control of Mary Ruth. She then undertook to unwind the transfer and ensure the assets were protected for the beneficiaries. Objectors take issue with her successful recovery of the Bypass Trust assets and characterize her efforts as aggressive. Apparently, Objectors would have had her do nothing to recover the assets transferred to the Survivor's Trust. According to Objectors, since the beneficiaries are the same, such recovery was unnecessary. This position ignores reality. If Jeri had taken no action to marshal the Bypass Trust assets, Mary Ruth could have absconded with the funds. Certainly, had the assets disappeared, Objectors would now be suing Jeri. Such a position creates a catch-22 and files in the face of Jeri's well established fiduciary duties. Jeri notes that the attorney for Mary Ruth and Objectors are the same law firm.

Petitioner states Objectors mischaracterize the efforts undertaken by Jeri to regain control of the assets and contend that somehow Jeri's actions needlessly increased attorneys' fees. See Reply for specific inaccuracies including reference to communications, etc. Petitioner states Objectors put much stock in the fact that Mary Ruth was advised by counsel to combine the trust assets. Assuming, *arguendo*, that this assertion is even true, it does not obfuscate the fact that commingling assets was wrongful and violated the terms of the trust. At best it creates a malpractice claim by Mary Ruth against her attorney in the event she is surcharged or found liable for damages to the Bypass Trust.

Petitioner states she is bound by her fiduciary duties to administer the trust according to its terms, take steps to control and preserve assets, keep property separate, enforce claims. Objectors would have Jeri ignore her fiduciary duties, allowing another person to control Bypass Trust assets, and essentially do nothing to carry out her duties, and apparently would rather risk having assets dissipated than pay attorneys fees to ensure they are protected.

Jeri did not benefit by serving as trustee. She waived all compensation. Objectors do not state how Jeri benefitted. The accounting confirms that Jeri even advanced her own funds to recover assets. See Reply for replies to specific objections.

Petitioner states Objectors fail to point to any grounds for refusing to approve the First or Second Accounts. Objectors mischaracterize Jeri's actions to perform her fiduciary duties as her "desire for control," which is disingenuous and should not distract the Court from granting the relief requested in the petition. There is no legal argument that the fees expended were not for the benefit of the beneficiaries. Assets were recovered and promptly distributed. Jeri's petition should be approved as prayed.

22B Attorney Attorney

L. Ruth Buchman Credit Bypass Trust Case No. 15CEPR00609

Magness, Marcus D. (for Jeri Buchman Weil – Trustee – Petitioner)
Brennan, Stacey (of Sacramento, for Objectors Jan van Lienden and Jill Buchman)
Status RE: Trial Setting

	JERI BUCHMAN WEIL filed Petition to	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Approve: 1) First Account and Report; and 2) Second and Final Account and Report; and Petition for Instructions on 6/17/15.	Note: On 9/2/15, Jan van Lienden and Jill Allison Buchman filed a Petition for Relief from Breach of Trust
Cont. from 090815,		(Page C of this calendar).
101615	JAN VAN LIENDEN and JILL BUCHMAN	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	filed Objections on 7/27/15.	1. Need status re date.
Verified	7/00/15 0	
Inventory	At the hearing on 7/28/15, the Court directed counsel to come on 9/8/15	
PTC	prepared with an agreed upon	
Not.Cred.	Tuesday date for trial assignment.	
Notice of	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 11/10/15
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation	 	Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 22B – Buchman

22B

22C Attorney

Attorney

L. Ruth Buchman Credit Bypass Trust

Case No. 15CEPR00609

Brennan, Stacey (of Sacramento, for Jan van Lienden and Jill Buchman – Petitioners) (Associated counsel for Petitioners: Summer Johnson of Dowling Aaron Incorporated)

Magness, Marcus D. (for Jeri Buchman Weil – Trustee)

Petition for Relief From Breach of Trust

Ruth Buchman DOD: 12/9/01 Roy Buchman DOD: 12/13/13 Cont. from 101515 Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen **Letters Duties/Supp Objections** Video Receipt **CI Report** 9202 Order Х Aff. Posting **Status Rpt UCCJEA** Citation **FTB Notice**

JAN VAN LIENDEN and JILL BUCHMAN, Beneficiaries, are Petitioners.

Petitioners state their sister, JERI BUCHMAN WEIL, is trustee of the Bypass Trust. Background: Upon their mother's death in 2001, the Buchman Trust was divided into two subtrusts, the Bypass Trust and the Survivor's Trust. Roy Buchman served as trustee of both subtrusts. The Bypass Trust was irrevocable and the Survivor's Trust remained fully revocable by Roy. The Bypass Trust provided that the remaining assets were to be divided equally to Petitioners and Jeri.

Roy married Mary Ruth Buchman on 5/15/05 and in 2007 executed an amendment fully restating the terms of the Survivor's trust, which, among other things, distributed their residence and a specific cash gift of \$200,000 to Mary Ruth and named Mary Ruth as successor trustee. He also executed a will naming Mary Ruth as executor. The remaining Survivor's Trust assets were to be divided equally to Petitioners and Jeri.

At Roy's death in December 2013, his attorney John Barrus was deceased so Mary Ruth met with his partner. Petitioners believed Mary Ruth was the successor trustee of both subtrusts. On 1/21/14, Petitioners and Jeri received an email from Mary Ruth provided her attorney's information and encouraging her to call him with any questions.

On or about 1/23/14, Mary Ruth received a call from AJ Safavi regarding combining the trust accounts. He informed her that the legal department at Morgan Stanley had approved the combination and he was moving forward. Unbeknownst to Mary Ruth at the time, Mr. Safavi received a \$3,400 commission on the account transfer that he was subsequently forced to return.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

- Notice of Hearing was not served directly on the trustee Jeri Buchman Weil pursuant to Probate Code §1214 and Cal. Rule of Court 7.51. Only on her attorney, Marcus Magness was served.
- 2. Need order. Local Rule 7.1.1.F.

Reviewed by: skc

Reviewed on: 11/10/15

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 22C- Buchman

22C

Page 2

Petitioners state (Cont'd): On 2/10/15, Petitioners and Jeri received an email from Mary Ruth stating that she now had access to Roy's trust accounts, that she mailed payments to the Central Valley Monument company, and that hopefully the trust would be closed as soon as possible after 6/21/14.

Four months into the trust administration, Jeri's attorney asserted for the first time that Jeri was in fact the successor trustee of the Bypass Trust. Unfortunately, instead of agreeing to work with Mary Ruth, Jeri demanded the assets be separated back into two separate subtrust accounts and that Jeri be in control of the Bypass Trust assets. On 4/6/14, Jeri forwarded Petitioners an email from 4/4/14 from Mary Ruth stating she would like to transfer the Bypass Trust information to Jeri as soon as possible, that she was happy to hand it over, that she regretted Jeri had been deprived of taking care of the Bypass Trust, and that she wished the attorney had read things more carefully.

Although it was clear that Mary Ruth was willing to cooperate, Jeri was so aggressive in her approach that Morgan Stanley froze all accounts and it took months to sort through the financial institution's bureaucracy and complete the separation of assets. In August 2014, four months later, Attorney Magness sent Petitioners an email stating that if all goes according to plan, Morgan Stanley will divide the assets and Jeri will have control of the assets that would have been in the account had the commingling not occurred. Petitioners state this was the exact same situation that Petitioners were in on 2/10/14 when Mary Ruth informed them that she hoped to distribute soon after 6/21/14. The only difference was that Jeri's name was on the account and Mr. Magness had billed the Bypass Trust approx. \$10,000 for more than 31.1 hours of attorney time. An additional \$2,794 for 8.1 hours was billed before Jeri finally obtained control of the account.

Petitioners state but for Jeri's actions, the trust assets could have been distributed promptly to the remainder beneficiaries and significant attorney fees would not have been needed.

Notwithstanding the fact that Jeri's petition states that the ultimate distribution would be the same, Jeri spend tens of thousands of dollars from the Bypass Trust on attorney fees and forced Mary Ruth to spend Survivor's Trust assets on attorney fees to unwind Morgan Stanley's unauthorized combination of the accounts and extricate the Bypass Trust assets into a separate account under Jeri's control. None of these fees would have been necessary if Jeri had simply cooperated with Mary Ruth to complete the trust administration. More than \$50,000 that would have been distributed to Petitioners and Jeri has been paid in attorneys' fees from the trusts and Petitioners individually all because of Jeri's actions.

Petitioners state by September 2014, the attacks by Jeri and her husband against Mary Ruth's character became so severe that Mary Ruth retained the Law Offices of Nuttall and Coleman to obtain counsel regarding a possible defamation and harassment suit against Jeri and her husband Doug Weil. A cease and desist letter was sent in September, with response by Jeri's attorney. A second letter was sent confirming that the letter was sent to Jeri and Doug individually and not as trustee, with evidence of their defamatory and harassing behavior.

Page 3

Petitioners state (Cont'd): Finally, in March 2015, after preliminary distributions were made from both trusts, counsel for Jeri and Mary Ruth discussed entering into a settlement agreement. Mr. Magness drafted an agreement which was forwarded to Petitioners on 4/1/15. The recitals in the agreement included many erroneous and unnecessary statements, including reference to disputes as to Roy's care prior to his death. Further, the mutual release included language to protect Jeri in her individual capacity from claims by Mary Ruth, Jan, and/or Jill that were unrelated to Jeri's actions as trustee of the Bypass trust.

Petitioners state contrary to Jeri's allegations, Mary Ruth never agreed to sign the Magness agreement. A new agreement was then drafted by Boutin Jones Inc., at Mary Ruth's request, which was sent to Mr. Magness and Petitioners on 5/27/15.

Petitioners state Morgan Stanley was responsible for the commingling, not Mary Ruth. The Boutin agreement contained standard release language. Mr. Magness responded that the Boutin agreement was not acceptable and contained misstatements of fact and "leaves open the possibility of litigation." Jeri chose to be the sole party to refuse to sign the Boutin agreement and instead filed her petition for approval of accounts.

Petitioners state Jeri's actions are inconsistent with that of a prudent trustee. A prudent trustee, after confirming the status of the Bypass Trust assets, would have worked cooperatively with Mary Ruth to carry out the distribution of trust assets according to the terms of the trust.

Petitioners state Jeri breached her duty of loyalty because she failed to act in the best interests of the trust. She and her counsel should have recognized that there was no benefit to Mary Ruth and no detriment to the other beneficiaries that the trust accounts had been combined by Morgan Stanley. After Mary Ruth's specific bequest of \$200,000, Jan, Jill and Jeri were to share equally in the remaining assets. Jeri chose to incur significant fees and delay administration so she could have complete and independent control of the Bypass Trust assets. Her sisters should not bear the cost of Jeri's detrimental actions. Jeri placed her own interest above those of petitioners.

Petitioners state Jeri is personally responsible for the attorneys' fees she incurred. Authority cited. Jeri spent trust assets arguing that Roy's amendment did not affect the appointment of trustee as to the Bypass Trust and she had a right and duty to obtain separate control of Bypass Trust assets. The only person that benefitted from this litigation was Jeri – she was able to be "in control" and obtained a platform and funds to allow her to further humiliate Mary Ruth. Petitioners did not benefit from the attorneys' fees incurred and in fact were harmed by Jeri's actions and should not be forced to bear the cost of her attorneys' fees. Further, Jeri should not be allowed to use trust assets to oppose this petition.

As a proximate result of the trustee's breach of trust, the assets that would have been available to the beneficiaries has decreased by more than \$50,000. But for Jeri's actions, less than \$5,000 would have been paid to attorneys before the residue was distributed.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

22C L. Ruth Buchman Credit Bypass Trust

Case No. 15CEPR00609

Page 4

Petitioners request:

- 1. Trustee Jeri Buchman Weil be enjoined from breaching her trust by using Bypass Trust assets to pay attorneys' fees to oppose this petition;
- 2. Trustee Jeri Buchman Weil be enjoined from breaching her trust by using Bypass Trust assets to pay attorneys' fees pursuing actions in her capacity as beneficiary of the Survivor's Trust;
- 3. The Court set aside Trustee's prior payments to her attorneys from trust assets;
- 4. Trustee be compelled to redress her breach of trust by payment of money damages in the amount of \$50,000 or more according to proof, plus interest, to reimburse the beneficiaries for trust assets spent on attorneys' fees and costs;
- 5. Trustee be compelled to waive compensation during the entire trust administration; and
- 6. The Court make all other further and proper orders.

23A Athena Rios, Bella Rios, Moses Rios (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00850

Petitioner: Ezequiel Suarez (pro per) Petitioner: Angelica Rios (pro per) Objector: Estevan Valdivia (pro per)

Attorney: Nellie R. Aguilar (for competing petitioner Jesus Vadivia)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

Athena age: 9		TEMPORARY EXPIRES 11/16/2015	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Bella age: 8		EZEQUIEL SUAREZ and ANGELICA	Note: 23B is a petition for temporary
Moses age: 5		RIOS, maternal uncle and aunt,	guardianship filed by the paternal
	110015	are petitioners.	grandfather of Moses, Jesus Valdivia.
Co	nt. from 110215	5	Minute Order of 11/02/2015: continued to
<u> </u>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	<u>Please see petition for details</u>	meet up with the competing petition of Jesus
✓			Valdivia.
	Inventory		There were three Notice of Hearings filed
	PTC		on 11/02/2015, all are defective as they
-	Not.Cred.		do not include Petitioner's name at #1 and what petitioner filed. Therefore,
	Notice of X Hrg		service is defective and Examiner notes
=	Aff.Mail X		#2 and #3 still remain.
	Aff.Pub.		2. Need proof of personal service of the
	Sp.Ntc.		Notice of Hearing along with a copy of
	Pers.Serv. X		the Petition or Consent and Waiver of
1	Conf.		Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence on:
	Screen		a. Brandon Castillo (father)
✓	Letters		b. Estevan Valdivia (father)
1	Duties/Supp		3. Need proof of service of the Notice of
	Objections		Hearing along with a copy of the Petition
	Video		or Consent and Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence on:
	Receipt		a. Paternal grandparents of Athena and
✓	CI Report		Bella
	9202		b. Jesus Valdivia (Moses's paternal
1	Order		grandfather) c. Agatha Valdivia (Moses's paternal
			grandmother)
			d. Hermino Rios (maternal grandfather)
			e. Gloria Padilla (Maternal
	Aff. Posting		grandmother) Reviewed by: KT (LV)
	Status Rpt		Reviewed by: KI (27) Reviewed on: 11/12/2015
1	UCCJEA		Updates:
	Citation	on Recommendation:	
FTB Notice			File 23A – Rios
			23∆

23B Athena Rios, Bella Rios, Moses Rios (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00850

Petitioner: Ezequiel Suarez (pro per – temporary guardian - maternal uncle)
Petitioner: Angelica Rios (pro per – temporary guardian - maternal aunt)

Attorney: Nellie R. Aguilar (for competing petitioner Jesus Valdivia – paternal grandfather)

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person

Moses age: 5		TEMPORARY EXPIRES 11/16/2015	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Granted as to Ezequiel Suarez and Angelica Rios	Note: Petition is to Moses Rios only.
Со	nt. from	General Hearing 01/05/2015	Need proof of personal service of the Notice of Hearing along
√	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified	JESUS VALDIVIA , paternal grandfather, is petitioner.	with a copy of the Petition or Consent and Waiver of Notice
	Inventory PTC	Please see petition for details	or Declaration of Due Diligence on: c. Estevan Valdivia (father)
	Not.Cred.		Note: Estevan Valdivia signed a nomination of guardian filed
1	Notice of Hrg		10/29/2015. If it was his intention to consent to the guardianship he
✓	Aff.Mail		should have signed bottom portion
	Aff.Pub.		of the form entitled Consent to
	Sp.Ntc.		Appointment of Guardian and Waiver of Notice, GC-211.
	Pers.Serv. X		Walver of Nones, GC 211.
✓	Conf. Screen		5. UCCJEA does not provide the last five years of the child's
✓	Letters		residence.
✓	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
✓	Order		
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 11/12/2015
✓	UCCJEA		Updates:
	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 23B – Rios

24 Illiana Manriquez (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00884
Petitioner Manriquez, Karen P. (Pro Per – Paternal Grandmother)
Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

Age: 1	TEMPORARY EXPIRES 11/16/15	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Cont. from Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified	KAREN P. MANRIQUEZ, Paternal Grandmother, is Petitioner. Please see petition for details	Minute Order of 09/22/2015 (Temporary Hearing): Ms. Forker is to properly serve the declaration she filed. The Court orders visitation for Ms. Forker every Tuesday and every Saturday from 6pm to 7pm at the McDonald's at Willow and Nees, starting tonight.
Inventory PTC Not.Cred. ✓ Notice of Hrg ✓ Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. ✓ Pers.Serv.		 Need proof of service fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian or consent and waiver of notice or declaration of due diligence for: Paternal Grandfather (Not Listed)
✓ Conf. Screen ✓ Letters ✓ Duties/Supp		 As to Courtney D. Forker, Mother: Need proof of service regarding declaration filed on 09/21/2015 pursuant to Minute Order of 09/22/2015.
Objections Video Receipt CI Report		
9202		Reviewed by: LV
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 11/12/2015
✓ UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 24 - Manriquez

25 Sophia Eliza Beth Zack (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00898

Petitioner Boos, Roger Keith (Pro Per – Non-Relative)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

Age: 10 months	TEMPORARY EXPIRES 11/16/2015	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Cont. from Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of x	ROGER KEITH BOOS, non-relative, is Petitioner. Please see petition for details	Minute Order of 10/08/2015: The Court reviews paper-proof of notice sent to Carolyn Zack, mother, via Facebook on 09/25/2015. Additionally, the Court notes that no father is listed on the birth certificate. The Court finds Ms. Zack was noticed for today's hearing. The Court finds due diligence as to the unknown father and dispenses with notice unless his name and whereabouts become known in the future. Mr. Boos is to notice Ms. Zack again in the same manner for the general hearing.
Hrg Xff.Mail X		Need Notice of Hearing.
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		2. Need proof of personal service fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing of the
Pers.Serv. X		Notice of Hearing along with a copy
✓ Conf.		of the Petition for Appointment of
Screen		Guardian or consent and waiver of
✓ Letters		notice or declaration of due diligence for:
✓ Duties/Supp		Carolyn Livonia Zack (Mother)
Objections		
Video		3. Need proof of service fifteen (15)
Receipt		days prior to the hearing of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the
CI Report X		Petition for Appointment of Guardian
9202		or consent and waiver of notice or
✓ Order		declaration of due diligence for:
		Paternal Grandparents (Note Listad)
		Listed) • Maternal Grandfather (Not Listed)
		Laurie Cook Canaday (Maternal)
		Grandmother)
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LV
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 11/13/2015
✓ UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 25 - Zack

Shaquille Wortham, Raymond Richardson, Jaharri Richardson (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00960

Petitioner Jones, Christina (pro per – aunt)

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person

Shaquille, 14			GENERAL HEARING: 01/11/16	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Raymond, 15			CHRISTINA JONES, aunt, is Petitioner.	This Petition is for Raymond & Jahrri only.
Ja	harri, 5		Father (Raymond): WILLIAM WORTHAM – deceased	Darneisha Johnson (cousin) filed a Petition for Guardianship of Shaquille
Co	ont. from	1	Father (Jaharri): WAHEED T. ADAMS – Declaration of Due Diligence filed	that is set for hearing on 12/07/15.
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters	X	Mother: URSULA RICHARDSON – Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 10/30/15 Paternal grandparents (Raymond): LOUIS WORTHAM MINNIE PERCY Paternal grandparents (Jaharri): UNKNOWN Maternal grandfather: RAYMOND RICHARDSON Maternal grandmother: THELMA	 Need Notice of Hearing for temporary hearing on 11/16/15. Need proof of personal service at least 5 court days before the hearing of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person or Consent & Waiver of Notice for Waheed T. Adams (Jaharri's father) – unless diligence is found.
✓ ✓	Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA		Petitioner states [see file for details].	Reviewed by: JF Reviewed on: 11/12/15
	Citation FTB Notice			Updates: Recommendation: File 26 – Wortham & Richardson

Attorney Attorney Bagdasarian, Gary G. (Court Appointed for Conservatee)
Rindlisbacher, Curtis D. (for Jacklyn M. Meador – daughter/Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator

Age: 86 NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED **NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:** JACKLYN M. MEADOR, daughter, is Petitioner Court Investigator advised and requests appointment as Conservator of rights on 10/20/15. the Person with medical consent and dementia powers (specific dementia powers Voting rights affected need Cont. from not specified, missing attachment). minute order. Aff.Sub.Wit. Voting rights affected. 1. The petition is missing ✓ Verified the Attachment Inventory Petitioner states: [see file for details]. Requesting Special PTC Orders regarding Court Investigator Jennifer Daniel filed a Not.Cred. Dementia. report on 11/06/15. Notice of 2. If Public Guardian is Hrg appointed, need Aff.Mail w/ revised Order and Aff.Pub. Letters. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. w/ Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp **Objections** √ | Video Receipt CI Report 9202 Order Aff. Posting Reviewed by: JF Status Rpt **Reviewed on:** 11/13/15 **UCCJEA** Updates: Citation **Recommendation:** File 27 - Salas **FTB Notice**

28

Kima Stepanyan (CONS/P)
Anahit Madatyan and Artem Stepanyan (pro per – parents)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator

Age: 20		NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		ANAHIT MADATYAN and ARTEM STEPANYAN, parents, are Petitioners and request appointment as Co- Conservators of the Person with	Court Investigator advised rights on 11/03/15. Voting rights affected, need minute
Cont. from		medical consent powers.	order.
Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓ Verified		Voting rights affected.	 Need receipt from both petitioners for viewing
Inventory		voling rights affected.	Conservatorship video.
PTC		Petitioners state: [see file for details].	Conservatorship video.
Not.Cred.			
✓ Notice of		Court Investigator Jennifer Young filed	
Hrg		a report on 11/09/15.	
✓ Aff.Mail	w/		
Aff.Pub.			
Sp.Ntc.			
✓ Pers.Serv.	w/		
✓ Conf.			
Screen			
✓ Letters			
✓ Duties/Supp			
Objections			
Video	Х		
Receipt			
✓ CI Report			
9202			
✓ Order			
Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 11/13/15
UCCJEA			Updates:
✓ Citation			Recommendation:
FTB Notice			File 28 – Stepanyan

Josephine Barbara Marinaro (Estate) Case No. 15CEPR01006 29

Petitioner

Backlund, Lisa (Pro Per – Daughter)
Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary with IAEA with Limited Authority

	Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary with IAEA with Limited Authority					
DOD: 08/14/2015			LISA BACKLUND, daughter/named			NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Executor without bond, is petitioner.		titioner.		
			Limited IAEA – o.k.			Need original will to be deposited with the Court pursuant to Probate Code §8200(a)(1).
Со	nt. from		Will dated 08/15/1994			
	Aff.Sub.Wit.					Note: If the petition is granted status
1	Verified		Residence: Fresno Publication: The Fresno	o Ree		hearings will be set as follows:
	Inventory		T ODIICAIIOH, IHE HESH	Орее		• Monday, 04/18/2016 at
	PTC		Estimated value of the	- Estato	•	9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing
			Personal property	-	<u>*</u> \$6,000.00	of the inventory and appraisal
	Not.Cred.		Real property	_	\$245,000.00	and
✓	Notice of		Less encumbrances		- \$41,000.00	<u>ana</u>
	Hrg		Total		\$210,000.00	 Monday, 02/27/2017 at
✓	Aff.Mail	w/	Total		72 10,000.00	9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing
1	Aff.Pub.		Probate Referee: Stev	en Diel	bert	of the first account and final distribution.
	Sp.Ntc.					
	Pers.Serv.					Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required
	Conf.					documents are filed 10 days prior to the
	Screen					hearings on the matter the status
✓	Letters					hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.
1	Duties/Supp					
	Objections					
	Video					
	Receipt					
	CI Report					
	9202					
	Order					
✓	Order					
	Aff. Posting					Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt					Reviewed on: 11/13/2015
	UCCJEA					Updates:
	Citation					Recommendation:
	FTB Notice					File 29 - Marinaro
<u> </u>						20

30 Kenneth Scott Davis (Estate) Case No. 15CEPR01007
Attorney Poochigian, Mark S (for Megan Davis – Petitioner – Daughter)
Petition for Letters of Administration with IAEA

DOD: 09/16/2015		MEGAN DAVIS, daughter, is requests appointment as Administrator without bond. Petitioner was appointed Special	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
✓ ✓ ✓	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub.	Administrator of the Estate on 10/13/2015. Letters of Special Administration are set to expire on 11/16/2015. All heirs waive bond Full IAEA – o.k. Decedent died intestate Residence: Fresno Publication: The Business Journal	Note: If the petition is granted status hearings will be set as follows: • Monday, 04/18/2016 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of the inventory and appraisal and • Monday, 02/27/2017 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing	
<i>J</i>	Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections	Estimated value of the Estate: Personal Property - \$151,500.00 Probate Referee: Rick Smith	of the first account and final distribution. Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.	
✓ ————————————————————————————————————	Video Receipt CI Report 9202 Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice		Reviewed by: LV Reviewed on: 11/13/2015 Updates: Recommendation: Submitted File 30 – Davis	

31 Kurt Dewayne Hudson (Estate) Case No. 15CEPR01024

Attorney Walters, Jennifer L. (for Robert Hudson & Mary Ann Hudson – Petitioners – Parents)

Petition for Letters of Administration; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA

DOD: 09/07/2015			ROBERT HUDSON, father, and MARY ANN	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Co	nt. from		HUDSON, mother, are petitioners and request appointment as Administrator without bond. Full IAEA – o.k.	Petition indicates that all heirs waive bond however no waivers of bond have been filed. Need bond in the amount of \$225,000.00.
✓	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified		Decedent died intestate	Need Notice of Petition to Administer Estate.
	Inventory PTC		Residence: Fresno Publication: The Business Journal	Need proof of service of the Notice of Petition to Administer Estate on the following pursuant to Probate
	Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg	Х	Estimated value of the Estate: Real Property - \$225,000.00	the following pursuant to Probate Code §8110: • Susan Lee Pollack (Spouse) • Darrell B. Hudson (Brother)
	Aff.Mail Aff.Pub.	Χ	Probate Referee: Steven Diebert	 Douglas W. Hudson (Brother)
✓				Note: If the petition is granted status hearings will be set as follows:
	Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv.			• Monday, 12/14/2015 at 9:00a.m. in
	Conf. Screen			Dept. 303 for the filing of the bond (if required) and
√	Duties/Supp Objections			Monday, 04/18/2016 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of the inventory and appraisal and
	Video Receipt CI Report			 Monday, 02/27/2017 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of the first account and final distribution.
✓	9202 Order			Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 11/12/2015
<u> </u>	UCCJEA			Updates:
-	Citation FTB Notice			Recommendation: File 31 – Hudson
<u> </u>	I ID NOIICE			31